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1. Introduction

Eskom’s SHEQ Policy sets out principles and rules that underpin the way in which Eskom approaches occupational health and safety, the environment and quality.

The effective management of incidents is required so as to achieve the above. Incident management is an integral function of risk management. The aims and objectives of incident management are as follows:

a) Reduce risk and prevent any recurrence of incidents.
b) Ensure that incidents are managed effectively.
c) Ensure that incidents are classified and recorded accurately.
d) Ensure prompt and appropriate investigation.
e) Promote the proactive use and value of near-miss incident reporting.
f) Improve the quality of occupational health and safety by learning from incidents, including near-misses.
g) Share incident information.
h) Report to external and internal stakeholders, as appropriate.
i) Promote the analysis of trends, and review practices accordingly.
j) Involve and communicate information to all stakeholders.

Incident management is not a mechanism for assigning blame or monitoring staff performance, but rather a way of identifying and addressing areas for improvement in order to reduce future risks.

Eskom is committed to Zero Harm as an Eskom value, which forms an integral part of its operations. This supports the value of Zero Harm to people.

2. Supporting Clauses

2.1 Scope

2.1.1 Purpose

This document describes the high-level intention and requirements for the effective management of incidents that occur during the course of Eskom’s business that result in, or could result in, occupational diseases/illnesses, fatalities, injuries, property damage or near misses.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document shall apply throughout Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, its groups/divisions, subsidiaries, and entities in which Eskom has a controlling interest. Where Eskom does not have a controlling interest, this procedure shall apply if no such similar document exists.

This document is applicable to Eskom employees, contractors (unless it is explicitly mentioned otherwise in this document) and members of the public affected by activities of, or on behalf of, Eskom.
For the purpose of Eskom benchmarking with other organisations, the applicable Responsible Manager may use the relevant classification criteria required for such benchmarking process.

In the case where a site consists of multiple employers, this procedure must be complied with for Eskom reporting purposes.

For the interpretation of requirements related to occupational health and safety incidents, this document will supersede any other procedures and instructions.

2.1.3 Effective date

The document is applicable as of 1 July 2018.

2.2 Normative/Informative References

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

[1] 240-62582234: OHS Roles and Responsibilities and Statutory Appointments Standard
[8] Aviation Act, No. 74 of 1962
[10]Labour Relations Act, No. 66 of 1995
[17]240-84733329: Medical Surveillance Procedure
[19]COIDA Occupational Disease 2 Form – as per COIDA
2.2.2 Informative

[8] Electricity Act, No. 41 of 1987
[10] Inquest Act, No. 58 of 1959
[13]240-75512977: Noise-Induced Hearing Loss Investigation Form
[14]240-75512947: Noise-Induced Hearing Loss Notification Form

The list of legislation is not exhaustive and/or not limited to the legislation listed above.

2.3 Definitions

2.3.1 Accident: Any unplanned event, arising out of, and in the course of, an Eskom or contractor employee’s employment and resulting in human injury, illness, or death of the employee, as well as death of, or injury to, any member of the public or damage to property.

2.3.2 Fatality: A fatality is an incident occurring at work, or arising out of, or in connection with, the activities of persons at work, or in connection with the use of plant or machinery, in which, or in consequence of which, any person (that is, employee, contractor, or member of the public) dies, regardless of the time intervening between the injury and/or exposure to the cause and death. The date of the incident will reflect the date on which the incident occurred, irrespective of the date of death.

Note: This excludes the death of a person (employee or contractor employee) while at the workplace and on duty who dies as a consequence of any activity not directly related to the course and scope of the deceased’s employment (for example, death from natural causes, etc.).
2.3.3 First-aid injury: An incident that resulted in a work-related injury that requires first aid treatment within the scope of a first aider and content of a first aid box and does not require further treatment by a medical professional. Therefore, the following will be regarded as first-aid treatment case:

a) No medication is required.
b) No subsequent medical treatment is required.
c) First-aid treatment can also be offered by a medical professional as long as it is in the scope of the first aider.
d) Where an employee was involved in an incident where there was contact with a person’s body part resulting to visible or no visible injury (for example, pain), the involved employee must at least be assessed by a first aider/medical professional. The incident must be classified at least as a first-aid injury.
e) The affected employee is able to resume work after the injury has been treated.

Note: Classification is based on the level of treatment, not on the person administering treatment. For example, medical practitioners or emergency teams can provide first-aid treatment.

2.3.4 Lost-time injury (LTI): A work injury, including impairment and a fatality, that arises out of, and in the course of, employment and that renders the employee or contractor to be booked off work or unable to perform his/her regular/normal work longer than seven calendar days or shifts other than the day or shift on which the injury occurred.

Note: Normal work refers to any work where a person can perform his/her normal duties without restriction. Lost-time injury will apply if a person is booked off work by a medical practitioner due to an incident, including being booked off for acute stress or post-traumatic stress disorder by a relevant medical practitioner.

A lost-time injury includes the following:

a) Any incident that occurs while an employee is off duty and where he/she, because of the situation at that time and his/her expertise, puts himself/herself on duty in order to save a life, or to protect Eskom’s property, or to conduct any duty during an emergency situation, in this way furthering Eskom’s business. Such an incident will be regarded as a lost-time injury.

b) All restricted/light duty incidents longer than seven calendar days will be regarded as lost-time injuries.

2.3.5 Medical injury: An incident that results in a work injury where treatment is rendered by a medical practitioner or an occupational health nurse practitioner within a 24-hour period, and medication is prescribed, dispensed, and/or applied. The affected employee is able to resume work after the injury has been treated.

Note 1: Treatment, for the purpose of this document, excludes any diagnostic or examination procedure or method used in the establishment of the extent of injuries or illnesses (for example, X-rays or scans).

Note 2: Where medication was prescribed and/or dispensed after an injury and it was not obtained and/or used, the injury shall be classified as a medical injury.
Note 3: Where medication is prescribed, dispensed and/or applied, whether to treat an injury or prevent an illness or medical condition after an incident, the incident must be regarded at least as a medical injury.

Note 4: any work-related noise-induced hearing loss with a PLH shift between 3.2% and 9.9% will be regarded as a medical injury.

2.3.6 Occupational safety near-miss incident: Any OHS event that did not result in human injury, illness or damage but had the potential, under different circumstances, to cause human injury, illness or damage.

2.3.7 Occupational hygiene near-miss incident: An OHS event where a person is exposed to a single or combination of occupational hygiene hazards, which occurred in the work environment, due to failure/insufficient/absence of control measures for that hazard(s) that could result in medical treatment, impairment or an occupational disease/illness.

2.3.8 Occupational impairment: Partial or total loss of bodily function or part of the body attributed to exposure at the workplace.

2.3.9 Noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) incident: Where an individual experiences a bilateral sensorineural hearing loss with a confirmed percentage hearing loss of 10% or more measured from the baseline, which must be based on two diagnostic audiograms, as per Instruction 171 issued by the Compensation Commissioner.

2.3.10 Occupational disease/illness: Any confirmed disease/illness arising out of, and in the course of, an employee’s employment and that is listed in Schedule 3 of the COID Act or any other condition as determined by an occupational medical practitioner. In the case of employees placed through a labour broker, the onus is on the relevant OU/BU to ensure that the pre-employment medical examinations are done.

2.3.11 Public fatality: The death of a member of the public.

2.3.12 Public incident: Direct or indirect exposure to Eskom’s product or activities caused by substandard acts and/or conditions that result in, or have the potential to cause, physical harm to members of the public, damage to property or interruption of business.

2.3.13 Public Recordable Fatality Incident (PRFI)

a) A PRFI is an incident resulting in the electrocution of a member of the public by coming into contact with Eskom apparatus within the point of supply, but excluding electrocution resulting from criminal activities. A minor being electrocuted as a result of criminal activity will be regarded as a public recordable fatality incident.

b) Any work-related incident where an Eskom employee or contractor is responsible for the death of a member of the public, excluding incidents where a member of the public is solely at fault.

2.3.13 Serious incident:

a) Any incident that results in a person being admitted to ICU for four days or more.
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b) All OHS Act Section 24(1)(a) incidents.

c) Any incident involving persons where there was electrical contact, uncontrolled release of energy (for example steam release, electrical flashover, etc.).

**Note:** OU/BU must inform Sustainability Systems immediately regarding the incident for advice on the activation of an independent Subject Matter Expert.

### 2.4 Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A&amp;F</td>
<td>Audit and Forensic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>Compensation Commissioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoL</td>
<td>Department of Labour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eskom</td>
<td>Eskom Holdings SOC Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exco</td>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Human Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU</td>
<td>Intensive Care Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INO</td>
<td>Initial Notification of Occurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTI</td>
<td>Lost-Time Injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTIR</td>
<td>Lost-Time Injury Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHSA</td>
<td>Mine Health and Safety Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHL</td>
<td>Noise-Induced Hearing Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPA</td>
<td>National Prosecuting Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OEL</td>
<td>Occupational Exposure Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHS</td>
<td>Occupational Health and Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMP</td>
<td>Occupational Medical Practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OU/BU</td>
<td>Operating Unit/Business Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM</td>
<td>Process Control Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHL</td>
<td>Percentage Hearing Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE</td>
<td>Personal Protective Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRFI</td>
<td>Public Recordable Fatality Incident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAIOH</td>
<td>Southern African Institute for Occupational Hygiene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP</td>
<td>Systems, Applications and Products in Data Processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP EH&amp;S</td>
<td>SAP Environmental Health and Safety (system)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDIC</td>
<td>Safety Data Integrity Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHEQ</td>
<td>Safety, Health, Environment and Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>State-Owned Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIR</td>
<td>Total Recordable Injury Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCL</td>
<td>Workman’s Compensation Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.5 Roles and Responsibilities

Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd and its subsidiaries shall take all reasonably practicable steps to prevent all incidents and harm to any person, including members of the public and damage to property.
The Responsible Managers shall be responsible for:

a) Implementing this procedure;

b) Communicating to all their employees, contractors and contractor employees the importance of compliance with this procedure and the consequences of non-compliance. This includes communicating duty of care and refusal to perform an unsafe task to all new employees and new contractors;

c) Implementing a monitoring process for ensuring understanding of, and compliance with, duty of care and refusal to perform an unsafe task; and

d) Ensuring understanding of, and compliance with, the requirements of this procedure.

Note: Joint ventures: There may be occasions when Eskom and other organisations combine resources to carry out a joint venture. Unless otherwise stipulated, each company in the joint venture is liable for its own contraventions and could, therefore, be prosecuted in its own name, without reference to any of the other companies involved.

2.6 Process for Monitoring

Compliance with the requirements of this procedure shall be audited as per the first- to third-tier audit process. The OU/BU is responsible for its own monitoring; all other assurance providers will monitor compliance with this procedure.

2.7 Related/Supporting Documents

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed below:


3. Occupational Health and Safety Incident Management Procedure

The following steps describe the process of incident management and are described in detail in the remainder of the document:

1. Incident identification.
2. Initiation and execution of emergency response.
3. Notification and reporting to relevant stakeholders.
4. Incident prioritisation.
5. Classification and recording of incidents.
6. Incident investigation.
7. Management of corrective actions - implementation and monitoring of corrective actions of incidents.
8. Incident close-out.
9. Incident communication – occurs throughout the incident management process and is not necessarily a stand-alone step.
3.1 Incident Identification

Identify or recognise that an incident has occurred. There are two types of identification or recognition, that is, direct observation and indirect observation.

To ensure identification of incidents, the Responsible Manager should provide employees with knowledge and skills as well as foster a culture and environment that motivate employees to immediately identify incidents as they occur.

Direct observation includes seeing the incident happening or being involved in the incident. For potential occupational disease incidents, direct observation refers to assessment results by any medical practitioner or medical surveillance conducted by an occupational health practitioner.

Any medical practitioner who examines or treats a person for a disease described in schedule three of the COIDA, any other disease that he believes arose out of that person’s employment, shall within the prescribed period and in the prescribed manner report the case to the person’s employer and to the chief inspector and inform that person accordingly.

Identification of occupational hygiene-related near-miss incidents must be classified by the occupational hygiene/safety practitioner.

Indirect observation includes learning of the incident through, for example, complaints, feedback, or information provided by internal stakeholders (for example, Eskom employees or contractor employees) or external stakeholders (for example, authorities, members of the public, etc.).

3.2 Initiation and Execution of Emergency Response

a) Emergency response includes, but is not limited to, the following:
   i. Rescue operations.
   ii. Ensuring that the scene is safe during and after the incident.
   iii. Providing emergency care (that is, first-aid treatment) to the injured to stabilise him/her and prevent further injury and obtaining medical assistance, where necessary and/or applicable.

b) Activate the appropriate emergency response actions in terms of the site emergency preparedness plan/procedure.

c) In order to prepare for proper emergency response, the Responsible Manager must assess the potential risks and develop a suitable response plan to address the risks. In the event of an incident, emergency care must be provided in accordance with the emergency response plan for the area.

d) The supervisor/manager must ensure that the injured person receives the best medical care and, when required, is transported to the doctor/hospital and that the relevant Employer’s Report (WCL) is duly completed.

e) The supervisor/manager must ensure that a copy of the person’s identity document is available to the treating doctor/hospital, which will facilitate prompt treatment. The Supervisor / Line Manager should ensure that the injured is accompanied for medical treatment, for the purpose of ensuring that the injured person receives the best medical care and that the relevant medical reports are completed correctly.

f) The OU/BU Responsible Manager is to ensure that specific work instructions relating to emergency response are available on site and executed accordingly.
g) Emergency response includes collection of evidence, which will assist in establishing the root cause. When collecting evidence, take cognisance of the 5 Ps (people, position, parts, paper and process evidence).

h) Collection and preservation of evidence:
   i. Immediate actions at the scene following an incident can disturb or potentially remove vital physical items and information important to the investigation.
   ii. The Responsible Manager is responsible for ensuring that complete and correct evidence and records are identified, collected, recorded and obtained, archived, stored and preserved to support the investigation of the incident.
   iii. The Responsible Manager must take steps to preserve physical items, computer data and other relevant information until the incident investigation begins.
   iv. No person should be allowed to remove, disturb or tamper with any evidence until authorised to do so by the Responsible Manager or regulatory authority.

3.3 Notification and Reporting

All occupational health and safety incidents must be reported to relevant stakeholders. If information is not readily available, the available information must be used and an updated notification must be distributed to all stakeholders as more information becomes available. The action and responsibility requirements under the Incident Prioritisation section must be referenced to identify who needs to be notified.

When:  when did the incident occur (exact time and date)?
Who:   who was involved in the incident?
Where:  where did the incident occur?
What happened:  what work was being done at the time, what materials, equipment or substances were involved?

Note: In the case of incidents involving crime and firearm-related incidents, the relevant Security Department at the OU/BU, as well as the Eskom Corporate Security Risk Management Department, must be notified.

3.3.1 Internal stakeholders to be notified for occupational health and safety-related incidents include the following:

a) All incidents
   i. Supervisor.
   ii. Responsible Manager.
   iii. Occupational health and safety representative.
   iv. Safety Department.
   v. Occupational Health Department (if applicable).
   vi. If applicable, Fire Management or Emergency Control Department.
b) **Specific incidents**
   i. In the case of a fatality or a serious incident, notify local Management and Sustainability Systems Department immediately.

   ii. In the case of receiving any notification in terms of the OHS Act, sections 31 or 32, or in the case of a summons received from the NPA, or any incident where there is a possibility of liability, immediately contact the Legal Department regarding the appointment of the attorney. If there are reasons to believe that such an attorney is not required, the OU/BU must provide, without delay, a detailed motivation which will be assessed by Legal Department and thereafter a decision will be communicated to the OU/BU.

---

**3.3.2 Eskom employees performing work temporarily at another Eskom OU/BU**

a) The Responsible Manager at the OU/BU where the incident occurred shall report to the relevant stakeholders.

b) The affected employee’s own OU/BU Responsible Manager is responsible for reporting the incident to the Compensation Commissioner (CC).

---

**3.3.3 Eskom employees performing work at another organisation**

If an incident occurs during regular/normal work, the reporting to the CC must be done by the original OU/BU, although the external organisation (where reasonably practicable) must assist with completing the documentation for submission to the CC.

---

**3.3.4 Notification requirements for employee and contractor fatalities**

a) The OU/BU must provide to the Sustainability Systems Department, immediately, the detailed information relating to the circumstances of the incident, including details of the deceased’s next of kin, in order for the announcement to be compiled for communication to Eskom’s Board, Exco and the rest of the organisation.

b) The fatality announcement to be sent throughout Eskom must be signed off by the Group Executive or the acting Group Executive.

c) Only the Eskom Communication Department and/or the Eskom spokesperson may disclose information to the media and/or the public.

d) Information can only be released to any external party after verification by the Eskom Legal Department.

---

**3.3.5 Notification requirements for potential occupational diseases**

Any potential occupational disease/illness must be referred to an Eskom occupational medical practitioner (OMP) for confirmation. Once confirmed, the OMP shall inform the Responsible Manager, who must ensure that the incident management process is followed after confirmation.

Feedback on confirmed occupational diseases:-

a) Incidents to be recorded on SAP EH&S.
b) Each case must be discussed at the OU/BU statutory committees as required by law.

c) On a quarterly basis have a standing item to discuss confirmed occupational diseases at the Group/Divisional SHEQ meetings discussing trends, emerging issues and management interventions.

d) Once per year have a standing item at the applicable Executive Committee to discuss confirmed cases and actions.

3.3.6 Capture initial notification

**Capture and communicate the initial notification**

a) Initial reports are reports that are submitted by any individual who is reporting an incident to the relevant OU/BU Occupational Health and Safety Department. They can be provided in any form, for example, email, OU/BU internal flash report or INO.

b) Initial reports are brief and limited to an outline of the known facts (that is, date, time, place, what happened, immediate actions taken and persons involved).

c) Eskom’s occupational health staff or external medical practitioners shall, where reasonably practicable, be responsible for initially assessing the injury sustained and/or disease/illness contracted by a person in Eskom’s employment, arising out of, and/or in the course of, his/her employment.

d) The Responsible Manager must ensure that the initial notification is communicated in accordance with the time frames.

3.4 Incident Prioritisation

From the initial reports, the Responsible Manager, in conjunction with, and advised by, the occupational health and safety practitioner, must use the matrixes provided to determine the priority rating of an incident. The Responsible Manager is responsible for ensuring that all those involved in the prioritisation of incidents are in a position to understand and use the relevant matrixes.

The priority rating is utilised to:

a) Ensure that appropriate management of the incident takes place;

b) Determine the level of action following notification of the incident;

c) Assist Responsible Managers with prioritising and classifying incidents; and

d) Provide clear direction about the incident reporting and communication requirements.

Steps involved in incident prioritisation:
Step 1

Determine the actual or potential outcome, consequence and severity of the incident by using the Consequence table. Note: For OHS, use the **actual outcome** for injuries and the **potential outcome** for near-miss incidents.

Step 2

Determine the likelihood of a recurrence of this incident by using the Likelihood table. Repeat incidents must increase the likelihood profile of the incident.

Step 3

Quantify the level of risk associated with the incident by assigning a priority rating to the incident. Using the information obtained in Steps 1 and 2 of this process, use the Priority matrix to determine the priority rating.

Step 4

Determine the appropriate action to be taken, as described in the Action and Responsibility Requirements Table.

Step 5

If the severity of the incident changes, e.g. lost-time injury to a fatality, the priority rating of the incident must be reviewed and amended, where necessary including updating relevant information in SAP EH&S.

### 3.5 Classification and Recording of Incidents

All occupational health and safety incidents, regardless of their rating, must be classified, prioritised and recorded in the SAP EH&S system according to the CARAT principles. The SAP EH&S system is the only acceptable system for the capturing of incident information. Contractor employees working under the Mine Health and Safety Act will be classified and recorded as contractor employees and not as Eskom employees for the purposes of this document.

#### 3.5.1 Classification

The following must be considered when classifying occupational health and safety incidents:

#### 3.5.1.1 Classification of OHS incidents:

Classification of incidents is based on the severity of the injuries. In order to classify an incident as a first-aid or medical case, the defining factor will be the evidence collected related to the treatment received, as established, during the investigation process. In cases where it is not clear whether a fatality is work related or not, the responsible OU/BU must submit supporting information to the Sustainability Systems General Manager immediately for a work-relatedness classification. These incidents must be presented at the next SDIC for ratification.
3.5.1.2 **Type of relationship with the person:**

The aim of classifying the type of relationship with the person is to determine whether the person(s) involved in the incident was/were an Eskom employee(s), contractor employee(s) or member(s) of the public.

3.5.1.3 **Work-relatedness:** In order to classify the work status and to determine whether the incident arose out of, or in connection with, the person at work, one needs to consider the work-relatedness of an incident. Unless otherwise specified in this procedure, as a general rule, an affirmative answer to all of the following questions is required:

a) Did the incident or exposure occur at any workplace or within workplace boundaries?

b) Did it arise out of, or in connection with, the activities of persons at work, or as a result of a hazard present in the workplace?

c) Did it occur in the course of a person’s employment?

d) Did it result, or could it have resulted, in personal injury or health impairment?

3.5.1.4 **Responsible unit (OU/BU)**

**Eskom employees:** An incident must be captured against the OU/BU/subsidiaries based on the employee’s organisational structure at the time of the incident.

**Contractor employees:** An incident must be recorded against the OU/BU/subsidiary with which the contractor has a contractual relationship and/or any other contractual agreement (including hand-over documents).

3.5.1.5 **Classification of occupational diseases and occupational health impairment**

Classification of OHS incidents must be undertaken by the occupational hygiene/safety practitioner based on the confirmation received together from the Eskom medical practitioner with the supporting documentation (refer to 240-134597296 – Process Flow on the process of Capturing, Verification and Validation of Occupational Diseases).

The following will be excluded from the Eskom performance measure:

1. All occupational diseases where a pre-existing condition has been aggravated by the work conditions.
2. Any incident (as determined by an investigation committee) where an employee was not exposed to any excessive noise at the workplace after 16 November 2003.

3.5.1.6 **Reclassified incidents**

Reclassified incidents must be communicated by means of an updated SAP EH&S flash report to relevant internal stakeholders, together with an explanation of the reclassification. Supporting documentation or proof must be made available for incident classification, verification and audit purposes and electronically attached to the incident in SAP EH&S.
3.5.1.7 Clarification regarding incident classification of occupational health and safety-related incidents

Where clarification is required for the interpretation of rules and examples for the classification of incidents or in order to resolve disputes with regard to occupational health and safety incidents, the Responsible Manager must send all relevant information to the Sustainability Systems Data Integrity Committee (SDIC) for review, who will evaluate information and provide direction in accordance with the Terms of Reference of the SDIC. Third Party at Fault incidents affecting performance indicators must be submitted to SDIC for ratification.

3.5.1.8 Classification dispute and appeal process

In order to deal with disputes or clarification, OHS incidents requiring clarification must be tabled at the Eskom Safety Data Integrity Committee (SDIC). These incidents will be reviewed in terms of this procedure and/or other relevant documentation. Should the OU/BU not be satisfied with the findings/outcome of the SDIC, the OU/BU is entitled to appeal the decision at the Safety Data Integrity Appeal Committee.

3.5.2 Recording

3.5.2.1 General requirements

All work-related occupational health and safety incidents must be recorded on SAP EH&S.

All non-work-related occupational health and safety incidents that occur within workplace boundaries must be recorded on SAP EH&S and it is not necessary to communicate the flash report. It is the prerogative of the Responsible Manager to decide what to do with the available information after the investigation.

The following generally agreed principles must be followed with regard to which information is recorded:

- The date on which the incident occurred, as opposed to the date of subsequent reclassification on severity, for example, deterioration of condition or death.
- Any preceding incident, including an occupational disease/illness, that occurred as a result of exposure to the same agent, impacting the same body part or target organ on a different occasion and resulting in similar symptoms or health effects, must be reported and recorded as a new/different incident.

3.5.2.2 Recording of OHS incidents

Recording of occupational diseases or illnesses

The date of the incident for occupational diseases shall be the date of confirmation by the Eskom Occupational Medical Practitioner as reflected on the First Medical Report in respect of an Occupational Disease (WCL22).
This incident must be captured on SAP EH&S as work-related within 48 hours as per information provided on the First Medical Report. Once the outcome of the investigation proves that the incident is not work related, SAP EH&S must be updated immediately to reflect changes on the work relatedness. All Occupational Diseases whereafter the investigation, are regarded as not work related, or where the OU/BU requires clarity/assistance, those Occupational Diseases must be submitted to SDIC for final classification. An Occupational Disease involving a pensioner shall be submitted to SDIC for final classification.

The date of an occupational health impairment incident shall be the date reflected on the Noise-Induced Hearing Loss Notification form (240-75512947). Occupational diseases/illnesses will be recorded against the OU/BU to which the employee belongs at the date of confirmation by the Eskom Medical Practitioner, unless it can be proven that the occupational disease/illness was caused by the activities of another OU/BU.

### 3.6 Incident investigation

#### 3.6.1 General

a) All investigation reports must be considered controlled disclosure documents in accordance with the Eskom document management requirement.

b) All health and safety incidents must be investigated, excluding non-work-related incidents occurring outside workplace boundaries.

c) During the investigation of repeat incidents, ineffective corrective actions for previous incidents must be considered.

d) In order to avoid potential or perceived conflict of interest, the chairperson of an investigation committee shall not be a direct manager/supervisor of the injured/involved.

e) Where there are investigations, hearings or inquiries initiated by the DoL or Department of Mineral Resources, Department of Energy and the South African Police Services, the Responsible Manager must inform the Sustainability Systems and Legal Departments, where a legal representative will be appointed as part of the investigation, hearing or inquiry.

f) In the case of incidents involving crime, the OU/BU Security Department and Eskom Security Risk Management from the Sustainability Systems Department must be involved in both the employer’s investigation and the Corporate Legal investigation.
g) The employer’s investigation report (Annexure 1 in terms of the OHS Act) must be completed by the OU/BU-appointed Investigating Committee’s chairperson (investigator) and signed off by the applicable OU/BU Responsible Manager as the representative of the employer/user. Annexure 1 for contractor incidents is to be signed off on a similar basis, unless the Contractor’s Policies and Procedures stipulates otherwise.

h) The onus is on the OU/BU manager to provide evidence for the reasons why the Internal OHS Investigation could not be completed within 30 days. This evidence must be loaded on SAP EH&S.

i) The results of the OHS investigation must be captured on the Eskom Internal OHS Investigation template: Form 240-77046688.

j) All investigation results must be documented, reviewed (where necessary) and captured on SAP EH&S, including root cause analysis and identified corrective measures. The root cause analysis must be electronically attached to the incident in SAP EH&S. Investigations by government agencies could also trigger a review of the initial incident information captured.

k) Records must be kept by the OU/BU of all OHS Act section 24 incidents, lost-time injuries and medical treatment cases on the Annexure 1 form (as required in the OHS Act – General Administrative Regulations for Recording and Investigation of Incidents) for all employees, contractors and members of the public. All investigation reports must be kept and archived for at least 25 years, unless another period has been specified in legislation or in any court proceedings that may ensue. All reports describing an incident involving a minor must be kept and archived for at least three years after such person becomes an adult.

l) To determine the estimated cost of an incident, the Compensation Commissioner Cost Calculations should be utilised.

m) All reports must be kept safe and secure.

n) The Eskom Internal Investigation Report’s disclosure is controlled, it is for internal use only. It may only be disclosed to third parties with specific authorization or consent from Legal and Compliance Department.

o) This information includes a wide spectrum of internal business data that can be used by all employees and can be shared with authorized business.

p) The completed Annexure 1 form, as required in terms of the OHS Act – General Administrative Regulations for Recording and Investigation of Incidents, may be made available to a third party on request and in consultation with the Eskom Legal Department.

q) Chapter 23 of the MHSA Regulations sets out the manner in which incidents are to be reported to the Mine Health and Safety Inspectorate on the following forms: SAMRASS 1, 2, 4, and 9 in accordance with Chapter 21 of the MHSA Regulations, as may be required, in consultation with the Eskom Legal Department.

r) In the case of incidents involving contractor employees, the contractor must investigate those incidents as an employer in his/her own right (employer’s investigation) and generate a report. The report and Annexure 1 must be submitted to the applicable OU/BU or on request to the Department of Labour by the contractor. Eskom may participate during these investigations.

s) All reports related to investigations, with the exception of Annexure 1, must be marked and treated in accordance with Eskom’s document management process.

The investigation report must include the following information:
i. The details of the incident (type of incident, what occurred, sequence of events when and where the incident occurred).

ii. Incident consequences and impacts.

iii. The risk of the incident reoccurring using a root causes analysis technique and the likelihood and consequence table within this document.

iv. Direct or immediate cause(s).

v. Root causes, taking into consideration human, workplace and natural factors (who, what and why).

vi. Identify system failures (procedure non-conformance, training, plant failure, etc.).

vii. Corrective actions to remedy and prevent a reoccurrence of the incident.

viii. Lessons learnt and recommendations.

3.6.2 OHS incidents

a) All incidents occurring within workplace boundaries must be investigated regardless of work relatedness. Such incidents must be investigated to identify any possible contributing causes and to make recommendations on preventing any repeat incidents.

b) The investigation of non-work-related incidents that do not occur at the workplace, including non-work-related commuting incidents is not required. In some cases an investigation can be requested by the Responsible Manager where the Responsible Manager has a very good reason to request such an investigation (where Eskom has an interest to understand the reasons/root causes) with permission from an employee. These lessons could be shared in the organisation to prevent repeat incidents.

c) It must be noted that the employer does not have the mandate to investigate incidents that occurred at an employee’s home, unless the person was performing work from home (defined as telework) at the time of the incident, in which case the incident would be regarded as work related.

d) Eskom investigations will consist of an OU/BU investigation, or depending on the severity of the incident (as determined in the incident prioritisation section), a corporate investigation will be held.

e) In cases of incidents involving contractor employees, the contractor must investigate those as an employer in his/her own right (employer’s investigation) and generate a report. The report and Annexure 1 must be submitted to the applicable OU/BU or on request to the Department of Labour by the contractor. Eskom may participate during these investigations.

e) The employer’s investigation under the MHSA must be conducted as set out in section 11(5), as revised, of the MHSA.

f) Root cause analysis techniques

   A root cause analysis technique must be used for all employee and contractor OHS investigations.

3.6.3 Employer’s investigation

3.6.3.1 OU/BU investigations (excluding serious and fatality investigations)

The Investigation Committee should consist of the following, given the nature of the incident:
a) A chairperson (appointed in writing by the employer) as the investigator of the incident, who must be at a level defined in the Action and responsibility requirement table, provided there is no conflict of interest or perceived conflict of interest, the chairperson of an investigation committee shall not be a direct manager/supervisor of the injured/involved.

b) Where applicable, a subject matter specialist(s) may be appointed by the OU/BU.

Note: In the case of a serious incident or a fatality, the representative from Sustainability Systems Department and/or, if applicable, the independent subject matter specialist(s) as determined by Sustainability Systems Department will also be a member of the committee.

c) Representatives from all entities, where multiple organisations and/or Groups/Divisions are involved in an incident.

d) The relevant supervisor/manager under whose supervision the incident occurred shall be the first person to give evidence related to the incident and will be allowed to remain in attendance at the investigation as an observer, provided there is no conflict of interest.

e) The applicable local workplace statutory health and safety representatives, as required by the OHS Act.

f) If available, the applicable Full Time Health and Safety Representative, as per Health and Safety Agreement. The OU/BU shall extend the invitation to the applicable Full Time Health and Safety Representative.

g) The applicable local union representative as per Eskom’s recognised trade unions may participate during an employee employer’s investigation, including the process of evidence collection, investigation, formulation of findings and corrective actions. The applicable union representative must be from the union in which the involved person is affiliated to.

h) An applicable BU OHS Department representative.

i) In case of an incident involving a person with disability, the investigation committee must consist out of a representative from Human Resources (HR) and an Occupational Hygiene Practitioner registered with SAIOH at least at Occupational Hygiene Technologist level. Depending on the complexity of the incident, the Occupational Hygiene Practitioner in consultation with HR will recommend additional specialist if and when required to assist with effective investigation of the incident.

Witnesses

a) Direct and indirect witnesses as determined by the investigation committee.

b) Depending on the case, the relevant OHS Act GMR 2(1) person appointed for plant-related incidents or his/her assistant in terms of GMR 2(7).

c) Where applicable, the person appointed in terms of Construction Regulations as the Client Health and Safety Agents.

Note: A witness may be recalled to answer further questions of the committee, as determined by the chairperson.

The Investigation Committee chairperson is responsible for the process of evidence collection, investigation, formulation of findings, identification of root causes, formulation of corrective actions, compilation of an investigation report and completion of Annexure 1 (OHS Act).

Note 1: In the case of a committee member who needs to testify as a witness, such a member needs to be excluded from the investigation sitting until such time as he/she has given his/her testimony and thereafter the chairperson can exercise his/her prerogative to allow such a person to become a member of the committee.

Note 2: The investigation may not continue if either the chairperson, the Responsible Manager or his/her delegate (provided there is no conflict of interest), the statutory Health and Safety
Representative and the subject matter specialist(s) determined by the chairperson is not present. **Note 3:** The Sustainability Systems Department, as well as an identified subject matter specialist(s), may attend as observers on the invitation of the most senior persons appointed under the MHSA or relevant legal representation.

**Note 4:** The initiation of the incident investigation must not be delayed due to the unavailability of any witness.

**Note 5:** Sustainability Systems has the prerogative to participate as it may deem fit and consulted with the relevant OU/BU Responsible Manager, in any incident investigation notwithstanding the priority rating or incident classification. Corporate OHS (Sustainability Systems) may also request, through the relevant management structures, any incident investigation report and consult on the contents of such a report. Corporate OHS (Sustainability Systems) may lead any investigation at the request of any senior manager.

**Note 6:** The site owner where an incident occurred has the right of access to any employer’s investigation report and may consult the contents of such a report, which shall be requested through the relevant management structures. The employer (Responsible Manager) is responsible for informing the applicable site owner of all incidents that occurred on site. Where applicable, the reporting mechanism must be stipulated in the SHE specifications and reflected in the SHE plan.

### 3.6.2.1.2 Public incidents

**a) Public fatalities:**

i. In the case of fatalities that involve members of the public, a corporate legal investigation must be conducted. The OU/BU must notify Sustainability Systems and Legal Departments immediately.

ii. The Legal Department will appoint an attorney from Eskom’s panel of attorneys to chair the investigation. The OU/BU must immediately mobilise a team who will collect evidence and assist in conducting the corporate legal investigation. The Sustainability Systems Department will assist the corporate legal chairperson during the investigation and oversee the collection of evidence, statements, conducting of root cause analysis and completing the investigation.

iii. In the case of electrical related incidents that occurred beyond the point of supply or involving electrical related criminal activities (theft), the onus is on the OU/BU to conduct its own investigation, and if there is any reason to believe that a corporate legal investigation is required, the OU/BU needs to provide a motivation accompanied by supporting documentation to the Sustainability Systems and Legal Departments for a decision.

**b) Non-fatal public incidents:**

i. In the case of all other incidents involving members of the public where there were injuries (excluding fatalities), an employer’s investigation must be conducted, chaired by a person appointed by the OU/BU Responsible Manager. This includes incidents beyond point of supply.
ii. If, at the conclusion of an employer’s investigation, there is/are any reason(s) to believe that potential liability on Eskom’s part exists, the chairperson of that Investigation Committee, together with the OU/BU manager, must provide the Corporate Legal Department with the applicable investigation report, evidence related to the incident, completed root cause analysis and reasons for requesting the appointment of an independent legal chairperson. The Legal Department will assess the information provided and indicate whether liability exists and whether a corporate legal investigation needs to be conducted. This includes incidents beyond point of supply.

3.6.4 Corporate investigations

3.6.4.1 Corporate specialist investigation (employee and contractor serious incidents)

a) Sustainability Systems Department will appoint and mobilise an independent investigation subject matter specialist to facilitate and coordinate the collection of evidence, statements to support the OU/BU-appointed chairperson/investigator.

b) The OU/BU must appoint an independent chairperson (at least M17) from another OU/BU to chair the investigation.

c) The OU/BU employer’s investigation chairperson/investigator must provide the investigation final report in accordance with the Internal OHS Investigation template (240-77046688) within 10 working days after conclusion of the investigation to the OU/BU Manager and Sustainability Systems Department. The independent subject matter specialist(s) (referred to in a) above, will provide a separate technical report to the Sustainability Systems Department.

d) If there is potential liability, the Legal and Sustainability Systems Departments must be provided with a motivation for such possible liability in order to advise the OU/BU on the way forward.

3.6.4.2 Corporate legal investigation (fatalities)

a) In the case of employee and contractor fatalities, the Sustainability Systems Department will initiate a corporate legal investigation and mobilise a team immediately, who will facilitate and coordinate the collection of evidence (to support the OU/BU appointed chairperson of the employer’s investigation and appointed legal practitioner), take statements, conduct root cause analysis and complete the investigation chaired by an independent legal person.

b) The OU/BU must ensure that an employer’s investigation is conducted and a report is generated before the legal investigation. This employer’s investigation report needs to be amended if there is new evidence emanating from the legal investigation. The employers report shall be among the source documents that should be utilised to compile presentations to various Management committees (e.g. OU/BU committees, Exco, etc.).

c) A safety culture perception survey, when required, may be conducted (to form part of feedback to the applicable executive committee during the close-out presentation).

d) In case of an employee fatality, Eskom flags may be flown at half-mast at Megawatt Park and at the main site office where the fatality occurred (if flags are available) for seven (7) consecutive days.
Note 1: At the discretion of the Group Chief Executive, in the case of multiple fatalities, additional memorial-related interventions may be executed. When available, the Group Chief Executive is to visit the site where the fatality occurred.

Note 2: In cases where the MHSA applies, the investigation process set out in it will be followed. Thereafter, a corporate investigation may continue, as may be required by Eskom.

3.6.4.2.1 Corporate Legal Investigation Committee (fatalities)

The Corporate Legal Investigation Committee, as determined by the Sustainability Systems Department, will be structured and limited to the following members:

a) Core committee members

i. Chairperson – a legal practitioner formally appointed by the Eskom Legal Department.

ii. In cases where the incident resulted in a fatality of an Eskom employee(s) and/or contractor employee(s), the Responsible Manager, appointed in terms of section 16(2) of the OHS Act, or his/her delegated person, in whose area the incident occurred must be a member of the Corporate Legal Investigation Committee.

iii. Sustainability Systems Department representative.

iv. The OU/BU employer’s investigation chairperson (appointed investigator)

v. OU/BU occupational health and safety manager or nominee.

vi. OU/BU senior management representative (provided that he/she has no direct involvement in the particular incident).

vii. Representatives from other divisions in cases involving multiple divisions.

viii. The local statutory health and safety representative.

ix. If available, the applicable Full Time Health and Safety Representative, as per Health and Safety Agreement. The OU/BU shall extend the invitation to the applicable Full Time Health and Safety Representative.

x. In cases where the MHSA applies, the following members may be included as committee members: 4(1) employer’s representative, 3(1) (a) mine manager, and 2.13.1 engineering manager (mining equipment)/mine engineer.

xi. In case of contractor fatalities, the contractor management representative has the right to be present while his or her employee is giving evidence.

b) Members

i. If available and where required, the Eskom A&F Department representative/nominee, who performs the role of providing independent assurance to Exco.

ii. A subject matter specialist(s), as determined by the committee, to advise the chairperson.

iii. If applicable, a representative of the Eskom Security Risk Management Department.

iv. The applicable local union representative as per Eskom’s recognised trade unions may participate in employee legal investigations, including the process of evidence collection, investigation, formulation of findings and corrective actions. The applicable union representative must be from the union in which the involved person is affiliated to.

c) Observers

Any other person allowed by the chairperson on a formal application.

Note: The chairperson may at his/her own discretion grant permission to any observer to ask questions during the investigation.
d) Witnesses

i. Direct and indirect witnesses as determined by the Sustainability Systems Department and the investigation committee.

ii. Depending on the case, the relevant OHS Act section GMR 2(1) person appointed for plant-related incidents.

iii. Where applicable, the person appointed in terms of the Construction Regulations as the Client Health and Safety Agent.

Note: A witness may be recalled to answer further questions of the committee, as determined by the chairperson.

3.6.4 Inquiries, investigation and hearings initiated by government agencies

i. National, provincial, and regional government agencies have the legal authority to inquire into, or investigate, certain incidents.

ii. Any inquiry or investigation by any of these agencies should be preceded by giving proper notice to the organisation.

iii. When any of the following notices are received:
   - Notifications issued by the DoL (in terms of the OHS Act sections 31 and 32 and section 56 of COID Act – Application for Increased Compensation).
   - Where the MHSA applies, the Mine Health and Safety Inspectorate may convene an investigation in terms of section 60 of the MHSA and/or an inquiry in terms of section 65 of the MHSA.
   - The OU/BU must immediately inform the Sustainability Systems and Legal Departments of such notice or requests received by providing a copy of the notice, relevant investigation report, and supporting documents.

iv. Requests by government agencies for access to the site’s investigation reports and related materials must be made in writing and reviewed by Eskom’s Legal Department before they are granted, as may be applicable.

v. Employees have the right not to incriminate Eskom or themselves.

vi. In the case of incidents involving contractor employees, the investigation will require the contractor’s involvement.

vii. In the case where a contractor does not cooperate during any part of the Eskom investigation, in terms of contractual and legal obligations, Eskom shall take further steps to ensure that the immediate and root causes of the incident have been identified and to ensure that workable corrective actions are identified and implemented and that actions that will prevent the repeat of such an incident are implemented in order for Eskom to fulfil its legal obligation.

viii. When required, all persons must be available and cooperate during any investigation by the Department of Labour or NPA.

Note: All reports related to investigations, with the exception of Annexure 1, must be marked and treated in accordance with Eskom’s document management process.
3.7 Management of Corrective Actions (Safety Measures)

3.7.1 Implementation and monitoring of corrective actions of incidents

a) There must be at least one corrective action for each root cause identified during the investigation.

b) The Investigation Committee must consider the following hierarchy of control when formulating corrective actions:
   i. Engineering control for the purpose of designing/redesigning in order to eliminate the risk.
   ii. Barriers to isolate/insulate between the source and employees or animals.
   iii. The provision of personal protective equipment should be the last resort.

c) Planned start and end dates for all corrective actions must be clearly defined and must be:
   i. Specific;
   ii. Measureable;
   iii. Achievable;
   iv. Realistic, with clearly allocated responsibilities; and
   v. Timeous, with clear deadlines.

d) Corrective actions and restart criteria/conditions that have to be completed before operations may resume must be clearly identified in the investigation report. Other corrective actions (for example, longer-term system-related improvements or evaluations) often have a completion date that extends beyond the start-up date.

e) Identify potential risks that can influence the achievement of the corrective actions, and document in the investigation report how these risks should be mitigated.

f) All corrective actions must be verified by the person responsible in order to determine effective implementation. Documentary evidence of the implemented corrective actions must be available and attached electronically to the incident in SAP EH&S before the corrective action is closed on SAP EH&S.

g) Where a corrective action that has been implemented is deemed ineffective and, therefore, unsuccessful, the corrective action(s) must be revised by the Investigation Committee and implemented. An alternative corrective action measure must be identified to address the root cause(s).

h) The revised corrective actions must be approved by the chairperson of the Investigation Committee, and the report must be revised accordingly. The chairperson must provide the motivation and/or justification for the decision. The previous ineffective corrective actions must be closed out on SAP EH&S and a new corrective action must be identified and captured on SAP EH&S.

i) Risk assessment must be done to ensure that any corrective actions that constitute an improvement does not create an additional risk or increase the existing risk.

j) To ensure the prompt follow-up and close-out of corrective actions from an incident investigation report, periodic status reports must be provided from SAP EH&S to site management until all recommendations have been acted on and closed out.

k) The Statutory Occupational Health and Safety/SHEQ Committee meeting must also track the corrective actions, target dates and responsible person(s) identified during investigations and note, in the minutes, the discussion points on the progress made with the implementation of corrective actions. The minutes must be kept for at least three years.
l) The OU/BU manager, as the Responsible Manager, is responsible for reviewing/analysing the recommendations made in the incident investigation report, assigning responsibilities to the relevant applicable Responsible Managers and providing the necessary resources to implement the recommendations made, within a reasonable time frame that does not expose persons to risk for an unnecessarily long period and to prevent recurrence of the incident.

m) After implementation of all OHS corrective actions for incidents with an extreme priority rating, the Responsible Manager must ensure that an independent and objective person, who was not involved in the investigation, verifies the effectiveness of the corrective action(s) implemented within three months after the planned end date of each corrective action and generates a report.

3.8 Incident close-out

a) Close-out is the final step in the incident management process. The action of closing out an incident signifies that all corrective actions have been effectively implemented and case studies have been effectively communicated and all relevant documents have been attached on SAP EH&S.

b) The incident must then be closed out in SAP EH&S as an action.

3.9 Incident Communication

The following are the communication means for occupational health and safety incidents:

a) Initial incident notification reports must be submitted by any individual who is reporting an incident to the relevant OU/BU Occupational Health and Safety Department. They can be provided in any format, that is, email, OU/BU flash report, or INO.

b) The SAP EH&S flash report is the formal notification informing all relevant stakeholder(s) (specified in the Action and Responsibility Requirements Table) that an incident has occurred.

c) Fatality announcement – the Sustainability Systems Department will send out an SMS and an announcement for employee and contractor work-related fatalities.

d) The memorial wall shall be updated with relevant information.

e) Occupational health and safety preliminary brief – the preliminary brief report must state the key learning points, which need to be shared in accordance with the Action and Responsibility Requirements Table in order to create immediate awareness and to prevent reoccurrence. The Responsible Manager where the incident occurred is responsible for compiling an incident preliminary brief. Where required, the preliminary brief must be communicated to the Sustainability Systems Department for further distribution to all relevant stakeholders.

f) When compiling the preliminary brief, consider the following:

i. The key learning points should be those points that are obvious (not necessarily the root causes, as they might not be available at the time of communication).

ii. They must only cover a few main points.

iii. Focus on positive points as well.

iv. Protect individuals by excluding names and places or any other information that could be sensitive. Where reasonably practicable, use photos that are relevant to the incident. Be sensitive towards the reader. Do not include any sensitive photos or information.

v. The OU/BU must ensure that this information is disseminated to all affected and interested parties who could benefit from the feedback. The OU/BU Responsible Manager must ensure that the effectiveness of shared key learning points is monitored.

vi. The communication of the preliminary brief should not be delayed by waiting for the incident investigation outcome/report. Key learning points are, therefore, not findings or recommendations.
Fatality Presentations to Executive committee

a) Presentations must be made to the applicable executive committee on all employee and contractor fatalities by the relevant Group/Divisional Executive or delegated senior manager.

b) Any additional and/or amended information provided at the applicable executive committee must be updated on SAP EH&S and a revised case study must be republished immediately on the Eskom publication tool, e.g. Hyperwave.

Case studies must be published for the following incidents:

a) Case studies must be published for all occupational health and safety incidents rated with a priority rating of extreme, high and/or moderate. In the case of near-miss incidents, publish those rated as extreme.

b) Public fatalities and injuries (excluding public crime-related incidents and incidents that occurred beyond point of supply) with a priority rating of extreme, high and/or moderate.

For the above incidents, after the investigation has been completed, the findings, the root cause analysis and the corrective actions must be captured on SAP EH&S. The case study must be generated and formally communicated within the OU/BU within five (5) working days after finalising the investigation report (5 days from date of signature).

For fatalities, the OU/BU must, within seven working days after the initial presentation of the incident at the executive committee, compile and forward the case study to Sustainability Systems, where after it will be communicated Eskom wide. The OU/BU must ensure that all case studies are published on an Eskom publication site, for example, Hyperwave.

2.10 Guidance to chairpersons during disciplinary hearings related to occupational health and safety incident management

Transgression of any of the following will be treated as misconduct:

a) If misleading information is deliberately supplied or information is deliberately withheld.

b) If evidence is wilfully withheld, removed, disturbed, tampered with or distributed without the relevant permission.

c) If a witness or any person involved in the incident investigation process is victimised or intimidated.

d) If notification of the incident is not given within the specified time frames.

e) If prioritisation of the incident is deliberately or wilfully manipulated to indicate a lower priority.

f) If any incident is not fully investigated within the time frames specified.

g) If incidents are deliberately classified wrongfully.

h) If any incident is not recorded.

i) If corrective actions are not implemented within the time frames agreed and captured in SAP EH&S.

j) If the effectiveness of corrective actions is not assessed within the required time frames.

Note 1: The collection of evidence for the purpose of the disciplinary process must be conducted separately from the occupational health and safety incident investigation process.
Note 2: The disciplinary process must collect its own evidence
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<td>Corporate Affairs</td>
<td>Elthea Magodla</td>
<td>Risk and Sustainability</td>
<td>Robin Pillay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Representative</td>
<td>Khabo Mhlahlo</td>
<td>Generation</td>
<td>Ronnie Behr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution</td>
<td>Lenny Babulall</td>
<td>Group Finance</td>
<td>Sekete Pule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assurance and Forensics</td>
<td>Lesley Motshelanoka</td>
<td>Group Capital Division</td>
<td>Sheryl Isaacs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission</td>
<td>Luvengo Ndlovu</td>
<td>Risk and Sustainability</td>
<td>Sibongile Masipa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Commercial</td>
<td>Meisie Sindane</td>
<td>Risk and Sustainability</td>
<td>SN Middel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Commercial</td>
<td>Mikateko Chauke</td>
<td>Risk and Sustainability</td>
<td>Sue Fourie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk and Sustainability</td>
<td>Mara de Kock</td>
<td>Generation</td>
<td>Tendani Mukhuba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk and Sustainability</td>
<td>Mike Townsend</td>
<td>Group Finance</td>
<td>Theresa Nuthall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk and Sustainability</td>
<td>Mpapadi Monyela</td>
<td>Corporate Affairs</td>
<td>Wandile W Katoo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk and Sustainability</td>
<td>Mthoko Zondi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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